

## Exercise 4 - Computational Models - Spring 2011

Submission date and time: 19/5/2011, 15:00. Please submit directly to box 311 in Schreiber. Mariano will also collect the exercises in his recitations

1. Let  $L$  be any infinite language in  $R.E.$ . Prove that  $L$  has an enumerator  $f$  such that  $f$  is monotone on the odd numbers, i.e. for every two odd numbers  $a, b$ , if  $a < b$  then  $f(a) < f(b)$ .
2. Are the following languages decidable? Prove your answers. Don't use Rice Thm.
  - (a)  $\{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is infinite}\}$
  - (b)  $\{\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid |L(M_1)| \leq |L(M_2)|\}$
  - (c)  $\{\langle M \rangle \mid \text{there exists an input that } M \text{ accepts in less than 100 steps}\}$
3. Refute: For every infinite language  $L$ , there exists an infinite decidable language  $L' \subseteq L$ .
4. The  $3x + 1$  problem concerns the function  $f$ , which takes odd integers  $n$  to  $3n + 1$  and even integers  $n$  to  $n/2$ . If you start with an integer  $n$  and iterate  $f$ , you obtain a sequence  $x, f(x), f(f(x)), \dots$ . Stop if you ever hit 1. For example, if  $n = 17$ , you get the sequence 17, 52, 26, 13, 40, 20, 10, 5, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1. Extensive computer tests have shown that every starting point between 1 and a large integer gives a sequence that ends in 1. But, the question of whether all positive starting points end up at 1 is unresolved; it is called the  $3x + 1$  problem. Suppose that  $A_{TM}$  were decidable by a TM  $H$ . Use  $H$  to describe a TM that prints the answer to the  $3x + 1$  problem.
5. Prove or disprove:
  - (a)  $A_{CFG} \leq A_{DFA}$ .
  - (b)  $EMPTY_{DFA} \leq_m ALL_{DFA}$ .
  - (c)  $Halt_{TM} \leq A_{CFG}$ .
  - (d)  $A_{TM} \leq EMPTY_{TM}$ .

- (e)  $L(0^*1^*) \leq_m A_{TM}$ .
- (f)  $\emptyset \leq A_{TM}$ .
- (g) if  $L \in R.E.$ , then  $L \leq_m A_{TM}$ .
- (h)  $\leq_m$  is transitive relation.

Note:  $L_1 \leq L_2$  means that there is a reduction from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ , i.e. there is a TM that decides  $L_1$  that in addition to the usual operation can also “ask” questions of the form “is  $x \in L_2$ ?”.  $L_1 \leq_m L_2$  means there is a *mapping* reduction from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ , as defined in the lectures.